Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,713	MARQUESS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Kapushoc	1634	
All Participants:	Status of Application	: Pending after Restriciton	
(1) Stephen Kapushoc.	(3)		
(2) Paul Herbert	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 February 2007	Time: <u>2pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	licant's representative)		
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substa f the interview, since the interv	ance
	·		
	,		
(1- )2			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed that the Office Action of 09/12/2006 was sent to the wrong address, there being a Power of Attorney and address change on record (12/20/2005). It was discussed that the Office Action will be sent to the proper address with the time period for reply restarted.